## THE REAL ISSUE

I have written on the issues confronting the chumany times. By so doing, many have learned the truth. I had thought, perhaps, nothing more need be said at present. And I am not going to write on these things much more for awhile. But since I have many friends who are going to lose their souls over these matters, I thought I would try once more to get them to use their intelligence and perhaps save them.

I have been accused of being opposed to caring for orphans. The charge is as FALSE as any charge SATAN ever made. I believe every orphan in the world should be cared for.

I have been accused of being opposed to orphan homes. The charge is just as FALSE as it can be. I have never opposed the existence of any orphan home. I don't care if my brethren huild a thousand orphan homes.

I have been accused of being so cruel that I would let little orphans starve to death. The charge is as FALSE as Satan himself. I

would give the last dollar I had any time to feed any orphan in this world.

I have been accused of teaching that the church should not help orphans. It is a FALSE charge that is made by SATAN'S men. I believe and teach that the church SHOULDhelp orphans.

I have been accused of trying to force a certain WAY of caring for orphans upon the people. This is a FALSE charge. I believe the church may employ ANY method or ANY way it desires in caring for orphans.

Based entirely upon the FALSE charges listed above. have been branded as a "hobby rider" and an "anti" and a "church troubler". I have had meetings cancelled and I have had some of the best friends I ever had to turn their back upon me. I am making this (another) effort to save some whom I hold dear. I am aware that a good many of the members of the churchare not aware of what the issues in this fight really are. I will attempt to set them forth in simple form. I beg of you to consider them.

some of you (who will be re- you to be honest. I plead moved from my mailing list) with you to deal fairly in ; this may be the last line I this matter. will ever write you. When we meet again it will be at . The issue in this fight the judgement where we will has to do with the CONTROL give an account of what we and OVERSIGHT of the work have done. May God help you and the resources of the to seriously consider.

The issue in this fight tain control of its work is NOT and never has been, and resources, or can the "should orphans be cared church turn some of its for." Everybody knows they work and resources over to should.

The issue in this fight THIS is the issue. Porget is NOT and never has been, for just a minute the SYM-"should individuals care for PATHY appeals concerning orphans." Everybody KNOWS "little hungry orphans". they should.

The issue in this fight appealing to you. The isis NOT and never has been, sue is NOT "to feed or not "should churches care for to feed" orphans. The isorphans." Everybody KNOWS sue is NOT "should or shothey should.

is NOT and never has been, phan homes exist. "is a certain WAY specified are SMOKE SCREENS!! I am to care for orphans." Eve-repeating; The question rybody KNOWS no way is spe-is: cified.

these FALSE issues and the outfrom under God's elders FALSE charges enumerated a- and place it under a board bove. brethren have waged their If they can take PART of fight. They won't dare let it, why can't they take it the people see the real is- ALL? I challenge you to sue in the fight. I am go-consider this honestly. If ing to show you what the you will just answer this issue really is. I beg of one question you will see

church. The question is: Should the congregation re-

a human institution?" I say to you, my brethren,

And just answer my simple underlined question. I am

uld not" churches care for orphans. The issue is NOT The issue in this fight "should or should not" or-

Can a congregation of

Lord's people take a the But upon the basis of part of their resources

the institutional which man has originated?

the truth.

The real issue in this don't COME BACK! fight has to do with the creeping MODERNISM of inst-" itutionalism. The churchis porting SCHOOLS! We have facing a crisis. Where can we stop? Consider the following:

My brothren are saying, "The church must care orphans and the Bible does not tell how to do it, the church can establish a benevolent society in which and through which todo this work." Just think about that, Brother, THINK about that. If that sound reasoning (and it is NOT sound) then these same brethren can say: "The church must feed the hungry and the Bible does not tell how to do it, so the church can establish grocery institution in which and through which to do this work." But that isn't all. These brethren can say: "The church must care for the sick and the Bible does not tell how to do it, so the church can establish hospitals and drug stores in which and through which to do this work." Can't you see there is no end to this trend? A good many of our brothren are already openly advocating church \_support of schools, hospitals, publishing houses and such. I'll tell you, brethren, we are done for and the church is THROUGH as a distinct body, if you

. We have churches supchurches supporting CAMPS! Wehave churches supporting PUBLISHING HOUSES! We have churches supporting BIBLE CHAIRS! We have churches supporting BENEVOLENT INST-ITUTIONS! We have churches supporting THE RED CROSS! We have churches supporting other churches who, in turn, support SECULAR, or HUMAN INSTITUTIONS! I ask you, Where can we stop?

-- I have ALWAYS believed and I have always preached that orphans should be cared for. I never have had any other idea about it. I have ALWAYS believed and I have always preached that both individuals and congregations had obligations in the care of orphans. I have ALWAYS believed and I have always preached that the church could use any WAY or employ any METHOD it desired in discharging its obligations to these orphans. On these matters I have never changed and I never will. Those of who used to look upon my preaching as being true to the Bible but who now regard it as wrong have simply MOVED AWAY from sound preaching and SAFE ground. If you will be honest with me I KNOW you will come on back to the Bible.

About four years ago the situation changed with regard to orphan homes. BEFORE that time, individuals who desired to do so. made contributions to them. And some churches sent contributions to them. Nobody objected much then. Nobody dreamed at that time that we would face such a situation as we began to face. I read one day where one of my brethren said, "The church CAN'T do its benevolent work without some OTH-ER institution." And then I debated a man who said Nobody could practice pure and undefiled religion without an orphan home. I have been fighting ever since that time. I expect to die fighting. Somebody tells me there are about 16000 congregations of the I imagine Lord's church. 2000 of them contribute to orphan homes. I simply am not going to agree that all the members of the other FOURTEEN THOUSAND congregations will be lost because they didn't practice pure and undefiled religion.

Let me say again, as I have said before: I do NOT oppose orphan care. I am NOT opposed to orphan homes operated in their proper sphere. Let these orphan homes operate as SERVICE SELLING institutions, like hospitals and I will help

them to exist. I will encourage people to patronize them. I will defend their right to exist and to do the work they are doing. But just as long as they insist on being placed in the church budgets, and as long as brethren try to contend that church support of them is essential to practicing pure and undefile! religion, I will, for it is my duty to do so, resist them. Brethren sometimes think they are making point when they ask, Which orphan homes will you The answer is very simple. : I will endorse ANY of them, or ALL of them, if they will divorce them from the church and cperate them in the field of OTHER benevolent organizations, such as hospitals, clinics, etc.

Let the church, under its elders take care of any orphans, and widows, and aged, and any other indigent within its obligations and let the church do it any WAY it wants to. DON'T let the church think it is aleasing God or practicing pure religion EITHER merely by sending a few paltry dollars to a HUMAN INSTITUTION that spends FAR MORE for overhead and unkeek than it does for feeding the orphans. . YOU can't go to heaven on what the church does anyway!!